



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/644,930

08/21/2003

Mara Fox

MF01U

7372

7590 06/07/2007
DON E. ERICKSON
LAW OFFICE
7668 EL CAMINO REAL STE. 104 #627
LA COSTA, CA 92009

EXAMINER

TORIMIRO, ADETOKUNBO OLUSEGUN

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3714

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

06/07/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

ed

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/644,930	FOX, MARA	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Adetokunbo O. Torimiro	3714	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|--|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

the specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Re claim 5: the limitation, "the second person" in line 3 lacks clear antecedent basis.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Guess the Flavor (Kissing Games).

Re claims 1 and 5: Guess the Flavor discloses a novelty kit for producing an oral sensation during deep kissing, the novelty kit comprising a first substance / *various candy* to be placed on the tongue of a first person; and instructions / *How to play* for use of the first substance with a second person; additionally comprising a second substance / *food*

items and wherein the instructions include directions for the use of the first substance and the second substance by the first person and the second person (**see page 1, Things you'll need and How to play**). **It is apparent to Examiner that first or second substance could be any various candy and/or food item, or different flavors of the same candy and/or food item as long as it is something edible. It is inherent for there to be a kit in order to have the kissing game as described in Guess the Flavor.**

Re claims 2 and 6: Guess the Flavor teaches wherein the first substance has an identifiable taste; wherein the second substance has a different identifiable taste than the taste of the first substance (**see Guess the Flavor**). **It is inherent to the Examiner that to guess the flavor of substances, there has to be identifiable taste associated with the substance.**

Re claims 9-12 and 19-22: Guess the Flavor teaches wherein the instructions direct the first person on placement on the tongue of the first substance prior to the first and second person engaging in deep kissing; wherein the instructions direct the first and second persons on placement on their respective tongues of the first and second substances prior to the first and second person engaging in deep kissing; wherein the instructions direct the first and second persons on the selection of tastes of the first and second substances; herein the instructions identify moods created by the selected tastes and wherein the instructions direct the first and second persons on the selection of the first and second substances to create a selected mood (**see How to play**).

Art Unit: 3714

Re claim 13: Guess the Flavor teaches a method for producing an oral sensation during deep kissing, the method comprising: placing a first substance having an identifiable taste on the tongue of a first person (**see Guess the Flavor**); and instructing the first person on use of the first substance with a second person (**see How to play**). **It is inherent to the Examiner that to guess the flavor of substances, there has to be identifiable taste associated with the substance.**

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 3,4,7,8,14,15,17, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Guess the Flavor (Kissing Games) in view of AAPA (Applicants Admitted Prior Art).

Re claims 3,4,7, and 8: Guess the flavor teaches the novelty kit containing first substance and instructions.

However, Guess the flavor does not teach the kit wherein the first substance is in the form of a solute containing an extract of the first substance; where the solute is water based; wherein the second substance is in the form of a solute containing an extract of the second substance; where the solute is water based.

AAPA teaches the kit wherein the first substance is in the form of a solute containing an extract of the first substance; where the solute is water based; wherein the second substance is in the form of a solute containing an extract of the second substance; where the solute is water based **(see background of the Invention)**.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the combination of the AAPA and Guess the Flavor game so has to have substances soluble in water and hence soluble in the mouth of the player.

Re claim 14,15,17, and 18: Guess the Flavor teaches the method for producing an oral sensation.

However, Guess the Flavor does not teach the method wherein the first substance is in the form of a solute containing an extract of the first substance; where the solute is water based; wherein the second substance is in the form of a solute containing an extract of the second substance; where the solute is water based.

AAPA teaches the method wherein the first substance is in the form of a solute containing an extract of the first substance; where the solute is water based; wherein the second substance is in the form of a solute containing an extract of the second substance; where the solute is water based **(see background of the Invention)**.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the combination of the AAPA and Guess the Flavor game so has to have substances soluble in water and hence soluble in the mouth of the player.

Art Unit: 3714


Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Wood teaches a kissing shield game and method of use thereof; Portella discloses a board game having an integrally attached rotating bottle.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Adetokunbo O. Torimiro whose telephone number is (571) 270-1345. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (8am - 4pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pezzuto can be reached on (571) 272-6996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

AT


ROBERT E. PEZZUTO
SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER